

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/827,008	04/05/2001	Richard M. Mathis	20804.00400	4898
58076	7590 06/23/2006		EXAMINER	
REED SMITH, LLP			TSAI, SHENG JEN	
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER SUITE 2000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRANC	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111			, <u>,_</u> , <u>-</u>
			DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/827,008	MATHIS, RICHARD M.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Sheng-Jen Tsai	2186		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ju</u>	<u>ine 2006</u> .			
· <u>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · </u>	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-94</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-73</u> is/are withdrawn  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>74-94</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accerding a specific and a specif	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO.413)		
2) Notice 3) Information	the of References Cited (PTO-692) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ter No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da			

Application/Control Number: 09/827,008 Page 2

Art Unit: 2186

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is taken in response to Applicant's Amendments and Remarks filed on June 5, 2006 regarding application 09/827,008 filed on April 5, 2001.

2. Claims 1-73 have been previously cancelled.

Claims 74, 78, 82, 85-88, 90 and 92-93 have been amended.

Claims 74-93 are pending for examination.

3. Response to Amendment and Remarks

Applicants' amendments and remarks have been fully and carefully considered.

The rejections of claims 74-92 under 35 U.S.C 112, first paragraph as indicated in the previous Office Action are withdrawn per Applicant's explanation in the Remarks and the corresponding amendments.

The objection of claim 93 under 35 U.S.C 112, second paragraph as indicated in the previous Office Action is withdrawn per Applicant's explanation in the Remarks and the corresponding amendments.

However, claims 74, 77-78, 81, 88 and 92-94, as currently presented, again raise issue of second paragraph, respectively. Refer to the corresponding sections below for details.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 2186

5. Claims 74-94 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 74 recites "the secondary secure memory device is physically and operationally independent of the primary device." The element "the secondary secure memory device" lacks antecedent basis. Note that claim 74 recites "storing the calculated signature in <u>a secondary memory device</u> separate from the primary device" in the earlier portion of the claim.

Claims 75-91 are rejected by virtue of their dependence from claim 74.

Further, claim 77 recites "The method according to Claim **75**, wherein the step of placing a pattern on the data bus path comprises switching the data bus path to a predetermined pattern that causes the control processor to remain in a predetermined state." The element "the data bus path" lacks antecedent basis.

Note that claim **76** does recite the element "a data bus path," but not claim **75**.

Further, claim 78 recites "The method according to Claim 74, wherein the memory protection unit is configured to read the contents of the primary memory device as needed for calculation of the first and second signatures but operates independently of the primary memory device." The element "the memory protection unit" lacks antecedent basis.

Further, claim 88 recites "The method according to Claim 74, wherein the secondary secure memory module is only accessible by a microcontroller that is

Application/Control Number: 09/827,008

Art Unit: 2186

independent of the control processor." The element "the secondary secure memory module" lacks antecedent basis. Note that claim 74 recites "storing the calculated signature in a secondary memory device separate from the primary device" in the earlier portion of the claim.

Page 4

Claim 92 recites "the secondary secure memory module is not accessible by the control processor and is physically independent of the primary memory device." The element "the secondary secure memory module" lacks antecedent basis. Note that claim 74 recites "storing the calculated signature in a secondary memory device separate from the primary device" in the earlier portion of the claim.

Claim 93 recites "calculating a signature from contents of the program memory, the signature comprising a signature of an image of binary content of the program memory comprising a verification of the contents of the program memory." It is understood that what "the signature comprising a signature of an image of binary content of the program memory" means; however, the second portion of this limitation, as it currently presented, appears to state that "the program memory comprising a verification of the contents of the program memory," which is inconsistent with other recited limitations.

Claim 94 is rejected by virtue of their dependence from claim 93.

The merits of patentability of independent claims 93-94 are not further analyzed in this Office Action, pending clarification from Applicant.

#### Claim Objections

6. Claims 81 and 94 are objected for minor informality.

Application/Control Number: 09/827,008

name of the intended target to avoid ambiguity.

Art Unit: 2186

Claim 81 recites the element "ATM machine." There are at least two acronyms of "ATM" that are well known in the art: the <u>Asynchronous Transfer</u>

Mode and the <u>Automatic Teller Machine</u>. The Applicant needs to spell out the full

Page 5

Claim 94 recites the element "The method according to Claim 93, the wireless unit is a blue tooth device."

First, claim 93 refers two devices, the memory test unit and a RAD, engaging in wireless communication, thus both of them must be wireless devices. It is not clear which of these two elements is the intended "the wireless unit" recited in claim 94.

Second, the element "a blue tooth device" should be "a Bluetooth device."

# Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 74-92 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, but would be allowable if able to clarify and overcome the rejections.

#### 8. Related Prior Art

The following list of prior art is considered to be pertinent to applicant's invention, but not relied upon for claim analysis conducted above.

- Martin, (US 5,729,212), "Gaming Device Providing High Security
   Communications with a Remote station."
- Wess et al., (WO 98/52664), "Gaming Device Security System: Apparatus and Method."
- Olarig et al., (US 6,009,524), "Method for the Secure Remote Flashing of a BIOS Memory."

Application/Control Number: 09/827,008 Page 6

Art Unit: 2186

 Mattison, (US 5,778,070), "Method and Apparatus for Protecting Flash Memory."

Cragon et al., (US 3,573,855), "Computer memory Protection."

## Conclusion

9. Claims 74-94 are rejected as explained above.

Claims 74-92 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, but would be allowable if able to clarify and overcome the rejections.

**10**. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheng-Jen Tsai whose telephone number is 571-272-4244. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Kim can be reached on 571-272-4182. The fax

Application/Control Number: 09/827,008 Page 7

Art Unit: 2186

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sheng-Jen Tsai Examiner Art Unit 2186

June 21, 2006

PIERRE BATAILLE PRIMARY EXAMINER